THE DIURNAL CYCLE OF CONVECTION MODELLING ISSUES

Françoise Guichard (CNRM, Toulouse in France)

« Even today, many comprehensive GCMs use a daily mean insolation in place of the true diurnally varying insolation » *from Randall et al. (1985)*

 \checkmark relevant & demanding test for GCMs

 ✓ assess physical parameterizations : radiation, surface exchanges, boundary layer, convective & cloud processes diurnal cycle of convection



✓ difficult to reproduce by GCMs (illustrated next slides)

e.g. monthly mean & diurnal cycle both correct at the same time quite challenging *Lin et al. (2000)*

re-tuning: may be quite delicate (e.g. shift convective to stratiform dominant, radical modification of the cloud cover!)

Yang & Slingo (2001)

precipitation: **amplitude** of the diurnal harmonic



quite resasonable agreement (caution: not at all the case for all GCMs!) frequently too weak, e.g. Royer et al. (2000), Lin et al. (2000), Dai et al. (1999)

Yang & Slingo (2001) precipitation: **phase** of the diurnal harmonic 201 101 **OBERVATIONS** EΟ CLAUS dataset 105-205 120W 90W 60W 30W 120E 150E 150W 30E 60E 90E 180 local solar time (hour) 2 8 12 16 18 20 22 24 10 14 0 6 4 30N **CLIMATE** 20N GCM 10N EQ unified 105 climate 205 model 305 120E 90W 60W 30W 30E 90E 150E 150W 120W 60E 180

 \succ precipitation too early by several hours compared to observations

comparaison of the phases of the diurnal harmonic of rainfall in obs & 3 GCMs



State State

The second second second second second

approch to the problem

from there, how to proceed?

analysis of the interactions between parametrizations in 3D can rapidly become a nightmare... (complex feedback loops, compensation errors...)

it is misleading to concentrate on one given field, like precip.

approach developed within GCSS & EUROCS

not the final solution within 3 years for each GCM involved but useful insights (which aspect to work on?...)

use this intercomparison frame to point to various problems which are not model dependent



CRM-simulated convective system: illustration, from Guichard et al. (1997)



scheme from EUROCS project description

SIMULATION OF AN OBSERVED CASE FOR VALIDATION PURPOSE

an example : comparison with obs, min-max envelope for CRMs & SCMs



better agreement & less scatter among CRM results that SCM ones

(figures : from EUROCS report)

comparison CRMs & SCMs (no observations available)



scatter linked to the microphysics for CRMs in the upper troposphere

- very weak convective downdraughts in several SCMs
- obviously room for CRMs improvements

however much more consistency among CRMs than SCMs

(figures : from EUROCS report)

diurnal cycle of shallow cumulus

cloud fraction



crucial role of the coupling between BL & cumulus parametrization!



Large spread in the amount of predicted rainfall but... the typical weakness found in GCMs is reproduced deep convection starts later in CRMs

Why?

diurnal cycle of convection in CRMs



$\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and \boldsymbol{q} in the BL: CRMs



$\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and \boldsymbol{q} in the BL: SCMs



characteristics of parameterized downdrafts



Guichard et al. (2004)

contrasted behaviours among SCMs various relative intensities (not directly linked to their impact though)

significant impact on BL development in the morning, additional source of pb





(figure from EUROCS web page)

In CRMs, convective draughts are predominantly upwards at first, convective downdraughts develop later

Clearly need a dedicated effort/careful analysis Hypothesis in SCMs? How are they supported or not by CRMs?...

θe and saturation deficit in the whole column at midnight



Q1/Q2: time mean profiles



Q1/Q2: time height evolution



CLOUDS









-125

-685 £ -778-

-965

-125

ave-10.0000] -218 -312--405-

[m=85, delu -498--592-

Heise -872-

EV8-10.0000 -218--312--405 · ÷,

Ĩ

f

(figures from EUROCS web page)

21 25 25

11 13 13

5 **7**

żs.

25

CRMs : cloud_fraction , 1h avg



15

20

(min=0.00000, max=37.2002) [m=24, time avg 1.00000]

ó

hour (LST)

77 79 31

11 17

ź

(figures from EUROCS web page)

-672-

-965

clouds



Guichard et al. (2004)



Figure 7. Normalized saturation deficit averaged between the PBL top and the LFC as function of CIN. Dotted (solid) thick line represents the periods with the cloud thickness larger than 200 m (2 km, respectively).

Chaboureau et al. (2004)



Diurnal cycle of convective stability







Guichard et al. (2004)

summary (1/2)

a simple framework (case-study) for CRMs and SCMs allows to identify weaknesses of conv. param. / type of situation

- CRMs: provided useful informations despite weaknesses
 (BL & shallow cumulus) about the succession of convective regimes
 - \checkmark most SCMs cannot handle properly such a succession of regimes
 - \checkmark difficulties with boundary layer simulation
 - too early triggering of convection can be related to
 their too strong link with CAPE independently of BL activity
 their lack of sensitivity to moisture / ≠ CRMs
 - ✓ additionnal problemes arise from the param. of downdraughts...
 - ✓ strong & various impacts on the simulated clouds (each SCM a special case)

on going work, on entrainment (methods) ...

in //: analysis of data / transition phases required

SUMMARY (2/2)



not much progress can be expected without an improvement of both schemes & interactions

REFERENCES, in chronological order with respect to slides

• Randall, D. A., Abeles, J. A., and Corsetti, T. G., 1985: Seasonal simulations of the planetary boundary layer and boundary-layer stratocumulus clouds with a general circulation model, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **42**, 641-676.

• Lin, X., Randall, D. A. and Fowler, L. D., 2000 : Diurnal variability of the hydrologic cycle and radiative fluxes: Comparisons between observations and a GCM. *J. Climate*, **13**, 4159–4179.

• Yang, G. Y. and Slingo, J. M., 2001 : The diurnal cycle in the tropics. Mon. Wea. Rev., 129, 784-801.

• EUROCS web page: http://www.cnrm.meteo.fr/gcss/EUROCS/EUROCS.html

• Guichard, F., Lafore, J.-P., and Redelsperger, J.-L., 1997, Thermodynamical impact and internal structure of a tropical convective cloud system, *Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, **123**, 2297-2324

• Royer, J.-F., Belamari, M., Céron, J.-P., Chaumat, L., Guérémy, J.-F., Piriou, C., Redelsperger, J.-L., Royer and Tyteca, S, 2000 : Cloud Archive User Service (CLAUS), *CNRM-ENM final report (ENV4-CT96-0356)*.

• Dai, A., Giorgi, F. and Trenberth, K. E., 1999 : Observed and model simulated precipitation diurnal cycle over the contiguous United States. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **104**, 6377-6402.

• Lenderink, G., Siebesma, A. P., Cheinet, S., Irons, S., Jones, C. J., Marquet, P., Müller, F., Olmeda, D., Sanchez, E. and Soares, P., 2004 : The diurnal cycle of shallow Cumulus clouds over land: A single column model intercomparison study. submitted to *Quart. J. Roy. Met. Soc.*, in press.

• Brown, A. R., Cederwall, R. T., Chlond, A., Duynkerke, P. G., Golaz, J.-C., Khairoutdinov, M., Lewellen, D. C., Lock, A. P., Macvean, M. K., Moeng, C.-H., Neggers, R. A. J., Siebesma, A. P. and Stevens, B., 2002: Large-eddy simulation of the diurnal cycle of shallow cumulus convection over land. *Quart. J. Roy. Met. Soc.*, **128**, 1075–1094.

• Chaboureau, J.-P., Guichard, F., Redelsperger, J.-L. and Lafore, J.-P., 2004 : Role of stability and moisture on the development of convection, submitted to *Quart. J. Roy. Met. Soc.*, in press.

• Guichard, F., Petch, J. C., Redelsperger, J.-L., Bechtold, P., Chaboureau, J.-P., Cheinet, S., Grabowski, W. W., Grenier, H., Jones, C. J., Koehler, M., Piriou, J.-M., Tailleux R., and Tomasini, M., 2004: Modelling the diurnal cycle of deep precipitating convection over land with cloud-resolving models and single column models, Quart. J. Roy. Meteor., in press.